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## THE REDDENED W UMa SYSTEM: GSC 1851-0320
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While engaged in a search for rotation rates of asteroids, Koff discovered light variations in the background star GSC 1851-0320. He used his $0.20-\mathrm{m}$ SCT+unfiltered ST-6 CCD. Alerted by Koff, Kaiser used his $0.35-\mathrm{m}$ SCT+ST-9E CCD+V filter to determine times of minimum light, the period and the light curve shape. Kuebler also started observations using a Celestron 14 and a Finger Lakes Instrumentation IMG512 camera. Henden used the USNO 1.0m telescope, a SITe $1024 \times 1024$ thinned, backside illuminated CCD and standard Johnson-Cousins filters. He found standardized $B V R_{C} I_{C}$ magnitudes for the field using Landolt (1992) standard stars. The University of Victoria observations were made with our automated 0.5 m telescope, Star I CCD, Johnson-Cousins filters and reduced in a fashion similar to that described in Robb and Greimel (1999). The field of stars observed is shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Finder chart labeled with the GSC identification numbers from region 1851.

Table 1: Stars observed in the field of GSC 1851-0320

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Star } \\ \text { GSC Id } \end{gathered}$ | R.A. J2000 | Dec. J2000 | GSC <br> Mag. | $\begin{gathered} \Delta C \\ \text { Mag. } \end{gathered}$ | Std Dev Between | Std Dev Within |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0320 | $05^{\mathrm{h}} 26^{\mathrm{m}} 21^{\text {s }} 125$ | $24^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} .78$ | 13.8 | 2.625 | 0.009 | 0.055 |
| 0579 | $05^{\text {h }} 26^{\text {m }} 10{ }^{\text {s }} 294$ | $24^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} .57$ | 11.9 | - | - | - |
| 1157 | $05^{\mathrm{h}} 26^{\mathrm{m}} 08^{\text {s }} 646$ | $24^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} 70$ | 12.4 | 1.654 | 0.007 | 0.008 |
| 0194 | $05^{\mathrm{h}} 26^{\mathrm{m}} 02^{\text {s }} 426$ | $24^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} .60$ | 13.4 | 2.692 | 0.004 | 0.015 |
| 0671 | $05^{\mathrm{h}} 26^{\mathrm{m}} 03.992$ | $24^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} 16$ | 13.9 | 2.383 | 0.004 | 0.012 |
| 1004 | $05^{\mathrm{h}} 26^{\mathrm{m}} 05^{\mathrm{s}} .150$ | $24^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} .85$ | 13.5 | 2.704 | 0.006 | 0.011 |
| 0044 | $05^{\text {h }} 26^{\text {m }} 24.902$ | $24^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} .16$ | 14.3 | 3.374 | 0.012 | 0.014 |
| 1231 | $05^{\mathrm{h}} 26^{\mathrm{m}} 28^{\text {s }} 204$ | $24^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} 49$ | 14.3 | 3.560 | 0.013 | 0.022 |

Table 2: Times of Minimum Light

| HJD | Uncertainty | Observer | HJD | Uncertainty | Observer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2452177.9151 | 0.0004 | RAK | 2452267.0264 | 0.0010 | RMR |
| 2452183.9556 | 0.0007 | RAK | 2452267.8884 | 0.0003 | RMR |
| 2452184.9591 | 0.0007 | RAK | 2452268.0324 | 0.0005 | RMR |
| 2452199.9048 | 0.0004 | RAK | 2452268.7495 | 0.0005 | RMR |
| 2452207.9543 | 0.0008 | RAK | 2452268.8918 | 0.0005 | RMR |
| 2452225.7748 | 0.0003 | DHK | 2452296.6327 | 0.0016 | RMR |
| 2452250.7832 | 0.0008 | RAK | 2452317.6169 | 0.0010 | KT |
| 2452265.7334 | 0.0008 | PK | 2452317.7594 | 0.0006 | KT |
| 2452250.7850 | 0.0005 | RMR | 2452317.9040 | 0.0005 | KT |
| 2452266.7395 | 0.0004 | RMR | 2452320.7794 | 0.0006 | KT |
| 2452266.8810 | 0.0005 | RMR | 2452321.7829 | 0.0013 | KT |

Some observations were also made unfiltered (centered at $\sim 6500 \AA$ ) designated $C$. The Julian Dates of observation (-2450000) are 2250R, 2266-2268C, 2296C, 2317C, 2320I, $2321 \mathrm{~V}, 2322 \mathrm{I}$, and 2334I. Table 1 lists the stars' identification numbers and magnitudes from the Hubble Space Telescope Guide Star Catalog (GSC) (Jenkner et al., 1990) and positions from the USNO-A 2.0 catalog (Monet et al., 1998).

Our differential $\Delta C$ magnitudes are calculated in the sense of the star minus GSC 18510579. Brightness variations during a night were measured by the standard deviation of the differential magnitudes and are listed for the most photometric night in the last column as "Std Dev Within". For each star the mean of the nightly means is shown as $\Delta C$ in Table 1. The standard deviation of the nightly means is a measure of the night to night variations and is called "Std Dev Between" in Table 1. The smallest "Std Dev Between" is 0.004 magnitudes and this excellent photometry shows that night to night variations in either of these stars must be less than a few millimagnitudes. We observed no significant variations in these stars in plots of the individual nights' data and a "Std Dev Within" one night of 0.008 sets an upper limit on variations of an hourly timescale.

The star GSC 1851-0320 had obvious variations during each night and most nights covered more than one cycle, causing the means for all nights to be similar. Times of minimum brightness of the star found using the method of Kwee and van Woerden (1956) are listed in Table 2. From these times of minimum light we find the ephemeris to be:

HJD of Minimum Brightness $=2452250 \mathrm{~d} 7845(6)+0 \mathrm{~d} 287449(3) \times$ E.
where the uncertainties in the final digit are given in brackets and the RMS error of the fit is less than 0.0013 days. In Figure 2 the differential $\Delta C$ magnitudes phased at this period are plotted. The light curve is typical of W UMa systems.

All-sky photometry by Henden yeilds $V=14^{\mathrm{m}} 03 \pm 0^{\mathrm{m}} 06, B-V=1^{\mathrm{m}} 39 \pm 0^{\mathrm{m}} 04, V-$ $R=0 \mathrm{~m} 86 \pm 0 \mathrm{fmm} 01$, and $R-I=0 . \mathrm{m} 88 \pm 0 .{ }^{\mathrm{m}} 01$ (available on the IBVS website: 5271-t3.txt) and 2MASS measurements yield $J=11^{\mathrm{m}} 04, H=10^{\mathrm{m}} 41$, and $K=10^{\mathrm{m}} 24$. These all indicate an approximately M0 spectral type for GSC 1851-0320, apparently making it the coolest W UMa known and deserving of further investigation. Therefore we observed the star with the DAO/HIA 1.8 m telescope and obtained the spectrum shown in Figure 3.


Figure 2. Unfiltered light curve of GSC 1851-0320 with different symbols for different nights.


Figure 3. Spectrum of GSC 1851-0320 showing the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ absorption line at $6563 \AA$ and FeI at $6497 \AA$

Obviously the star's spectral type is not M but G . The reason for the discrepancy must be reddening, and in the Lick Observatory Sky Atlas (1967) we observe a dust cloud in the star's direction. Using the Java applet written by J. Köppen, found at http://astro.u-strasbg.fr/~koppen/nebula/ExtCurv.html, we find for an $E(B-$ $V)=0.73 \pm 0 .{ }^{\mathrm{m}} 10$ a $V$ extinction of $2.27 \pm 0^{\mathrm{m}} 30$, and dereddened colors consistent with
our G-type spectral classification. The relation given by Rucinski (2000) between absolute magnitude, the intrinsic color and period of a W UMa system yields $M_{V}=4.64$. Combined with extinction, we find a distance of $260 \pm 80 \mathrm{pc}$ to this system. The distance to the Taurus Star Forming Region is $\sim 140 \mathrm{pc}$ and therefore the dust cloud could be part of that region.


Figure 4. Model line and light curve points of GSC 1851-0320

While a definitive solution to the light curve is not possible with this data set, there exist physically plausible parameters, which fit the data fairly well. Our light curve model, synthesized using Binmaker2 (Bradstreet, 1993) is plotted with the binned data points in Figure 4. From the spectral class we assume a temperature for the hot star of 5600 K and appropriate limb darkening ( $\mathrm{X}=0.56$ ), gravity darkening ( $\mathrm{g}=0.32$ ) and reflection ( $\mathrm{R}=0.5$ ) coefficients. The set of parameters we found were: radius of hot star of $0.37 \pm 0.2$, radius of cool star of $0.35 \pm 0.2$, temperature of the cool star of 5400 K and an orbital inclination of $59^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$. The uncertainty in the difference in temperature of the two stars is $\sim 100 \mathrm{~K}$. The small inclination makes the mass ratio indeterminate but a value of 0.94 is consistent with the temperature difference. We needed to include a spot on the cooler star to model the difference in maximum light.

This near contact model is consistent in temperature difference, mass ratio and ratio of the radii with a G4V orbited by a G6V star. While the color of GSC 1851-0320 is very red for a contact binary, we are convinced that this is a consequence of an intervening dust cloud and the system has the temperature and spectral class expected for its period.
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