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The eclipsing binary AR Bootis ( $=$ SVS $1266=$ GSC $1999.0011=$ FL 1630, $\left.\alpha=13^{\mathrm{h}} 48^{\mathrm{m}} 10.40, \delta=+24^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} 6, \mathrm{~J} 2000 ; V_{\max }=13.5 \mathrm{mag}\right)$ is a frequently observed, rather faint variable star. It was discovered by Kurochkin $(1959,1960)$ in the vicinity of the globular cluster M3, but the period of $P=0.416718$ days determined turned out to be incorrect. Houck and Pollock (1986) obtained two photographic light curves in the span of 3 days. They revised Kurochkin's data and derived the new period of 0.34470 days.

The system was also observed visually by the BBSAG and Brno observers: Locher and Diethelm obtained 9 times of minimum between 1975 and 1980, four times of minimum were observed by Borovička and Dědoch in 1987-90 (Mikulášek et al. 1992, Zejda 1995). Recently, other photometric times of minima have been given by Diethelm and Blättler. No spectroscopic observations have been published for this system.

We performed CCD photometry of AR Boo at two observatories. The system was observed in 1996-1998 at the Brno Observatory, Czech Republic, with a $40-\mathrm{cm}$ Newtonian telescope and the CCD camera SBIG ST-7 without filter. Two color photoelectric photometry was done during the 12 nights from May to July 1997 at the Ondřejov Observatory, Czech Republic, with a $65-\mathrm{cm}$ telescope and the CCD camera SBIG ST-6. Standard $V$ Johnson and $R$ Cousins filters were used. In most nights filters were changed between exposures, typically 120 seconds long. High signal-to-noise ratio enabled very good precision of the light curves, with standard error of measurements about 0.010 mag in $R$ and 0.015 mag in $V$ band. Altogether 183 measurements in $R$ and 84 in $V$ have been obtained. ${ }^{1}$ The stars GSC $1999.0067(V=12.9 \mathrm{mag})$ and GSC 1999.0253 ( $V=$ 12.9 mag ) - numbered 11 and 15 on the finding chart of Houck and Pollock (1986) - on the same frame as the variable served as a comparison and check stars, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the composite $V, R$ light curves of AR Boo obtained in 1997. The light amplitude in primary minimum is $A_{1}=0.65 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$ and in secondary minimum $A_{2}=0.50 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{mag}$ in the R color. The amplitudes in V are very similar. The light curve seems to be typical of a $\beta$ Lyrae type eclipsing binary. We also derived $V-R$ colour indices: $0.56,0.59$ and 0.37 mag for AR Boo in minimum, comparison and check stars, respectively.
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Figure 1. Composite differential $V, R$ light curves of AR Boo computed using the new period of 0.3449 days. The $R$ light curve is shifted by 0.25 mag

From the published photographic observations of Kurochkin (1960) we selected 12 times when the variable was fainter than 14.40 mag and defined them as plate minima. We also used the published observations of Houck and Pollock (1986) and determined three times of minimum light. Nevertheless, after a discussion with the first author, we revealed a systematic error in the published heliocentric Julian dates of -0.0661 days and corrected the times accordingly. From our measurements we derived 11 new times of minimum light and their errors using the method of Šarounová \& Wolf (1996).

All available times of minimum (heliocentric Julian Date -2400000 ) are given in Table 1. The given errors apply to the last digit of the minimum time. For the ephemeris computation, the minima got a weight according to the observational method: plate minima (p) weight 1 , visual (v) 5, photographic (f) 10, and CCD minima (C) 50.

A total of 44 moments of minimum covering the period 1950-1998 were incorporated in our analysis. They could not be fitted by a single period. The minima can be fitted either by a sudden period increase in about 1983 or by a continuous increase during the given period. The following light elements were calculated:

$$
\text { Pri. Min. }=\text { HJD } \quad 2436661.541+\underset{ \pm 4}{0.3448669 \times E}
$$

for JD $<2445400$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { Pri. Min. }=\text { HJD } \quad 2450182.4799+0.3448733 \times E  \tag{2}\\
\pm 3
\end{gather*}
$$

for $\mathrm{JD}>2445400$, or

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { Pri. Min. }=\text { HJD } \\
2436661.537  \tag{3}\\
\pm 4
\end{gather*} \underset{\sim}{0.3448646 \times E}+1.17 \times 10^{-10} \times E^{2}
$$

for the whole period.
The parabolic elements do not explain satisfactorily the photographic minima of Houck and Pollock (1986) but they fit better the CCD minima from the recent years. The $O-C$ residuals for all times of minimum with respect to the linear ephemeris (1) are shown in

Table 1: The times of minimum of AR Boo

| JD ${ }_{\text {hel }}$ | m. | E | $O-C_{1,2}$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | observer | source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34133.336 | p | $-7331$ | +0.014 | -0.004 | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 34146.430 | p | -7293 | $+0.003$ | $-0.015$ | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 34834.449 | p | -5298 | $+0.012$ | $+0.002$ | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 35593.314 | p | -3097.5 | -0.002 | -0.006 | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 35600.384 | p | -3077 | -0.002 | $-0.005$ | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 36658.419 | p | -9 | -0.019 | -0.014 | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 36661.359 | p | -0.5 | -0.010 | $-0.005$ | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 36666.357 | p | 14 | -0.012 | -0.008 | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 36666.565 | p | 14.5 | $+0.023$ | +0.028 | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 36668.433 | p | 20 | -0.006 | -0.001 | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 36687.415 | p | 75 | +0.009 | $+0.013$ | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 36695.315 | p | 98 | $-0.023$ | -0.018 | Kurochkin | (1960) |
| 42575.486 | v | 17148.5 | $-0.005$ | +0.004 | Locher | BBSAG |
| 42576.519 | V | 17151.5 | -0.006 | +0.002 | Locher | BBSAG |
| 42775.697 | V | 17729 | +0.011 | +0.019 | Locher | BBSAG |
| 42780.516 | V | 17743 | $+0.002$ | $+0.009$ | Locher | BBSAG |
| 42872.594 | V | 18010 | +0.001 | +0.007 | Locher | BBSAG |
| 43624.395 | V | 20190 | -0.008 | -0.006 | Locher | BBSAG |
| 43656.479 | v | 20283 | +0.003 | $+0.005$ | Locher | BBSAG |
| 43662.524 | V | 20300.5 | +0.013 | $+0.015$ | Locher | BBSAG |
| 44343.442 | V | 22275 | -0.009 | -0.012 | Diethelm | BBSAG |
| 46140.7391 | f | 27486.5 | $+0.0019$ | -0.0074 | Houck \& | Pollock (1986) |
| 46140.9091 | f | 27487 | -0.0005 | -0.0099 | Houck \& | Pollock (1986) |
| 46143.8416 | f | 27495.5 | +0.0006 | -0.0088 | Houck \& | Pollock (1986) |
| 47669.386 | v | 31919 | -0.002 | -0.004 | Borovička | BRNO 30 |
| 47946.502 | V | 32722.5 | +0.008 | $+0.007$ | Borovička | BRNO 31 |
| 47968.561 | V | 32786.5 | -0.005 | $-0.005$ | Borovička | BRNO 31 |
| 47968.571 | V | 32786.5 | +0.005 | $+0.005$ | Dědoch | BRNO 31 |
| $50182.4791 \pm 2$ | C | 39206 | -0.0008 | +0.0002 | Šafář | this paper |
| $50192.4789 \pm 30$ | C | 39235 | $-0.0023$ | -0.0013 | Diethelm | BBSAG 112 |
| $50200.4128 \pm 2$ | C | 39258 | $-0.0005$ | +0.0005 | Šafářová | this paper |
| $50200.5850 \pm 5$ | C | 39258.5 | $-0.0007$ | +0.0003 | Šafářová | this paper |
| $50547.3555 \pm 2$ | C | 40264 | -0.0003 | $+0.0000$ | Šafář | this paper |
| $50551.4936 \pm 18$ | C | 40276 | $-0.0007$ | $-0.0003$ | Diethelm | BBSAG 115 |
| $50607.3635 \pm 4$ | C | 40438 | -0.0003 | $+0.0000$ | Šarounová | this paper |
| $50607.5346 \pm 3$ | C | 40438.5 | -0.0016 | -0.0014 | Šarounová | this paper |
| $50611.5025 \pm 5$ | C | 40450 | $+0.0002$ | $+0.0005$ | Šarounová | this paper |
| $50638.4028 \pm 2$ | C | 40528 | $+0.0004$ | +0.0006 | Šarounová | this paper |
| $50888.4365 \pm 2$ | C | 41253 | $+0.0010$ | $+0.0005$ | Šafář | this paper |
| $50899.4721 \pm 3$ | C | 41285 | $+0.0006$ | +0.0001 | Šafář | this paper |
| $50923.4410 \pm 17$ | C | 41354.5 | $+0.0008$ | $+0.0003$ | Diethelm | BBSAG 117 |
| $50925.5099 \pm 14$ | C | 41360.5 | $+0.0005$ | -0.0001 | Diethelm | BBSAG 117 |
| $50926.3730 \pm 6$ | C | 41363 | $+0.0014$ | +0.0008 | Blättler | BBSAG 117 |
| $50927.4073 \pm 3$ | C | 41366 | +0.0011 | $+0.0005$ | Šafář | this paper |

Figure 2. The non-linear fit, corresponding to the calculated elements (3) is plotted as a dashed curve. Further observations are needed to decide about the character of period changes in this interesting system.
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Figure 2. $O-C$ diagram for AR Boo
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The table of observational data in ASCII format is available as the 4601-t2.txt file together with the electronic version of the Bulletin.

