COMMISSIONS 27 AND 42 OF THE IAU INFORMATION BULLETIN ON VARIABLE STARS

Number 4109

Konkoly Observatory Budapest 3 November 1994 HU ISSN 0374 - 0676

PHOTOELECTRIC PHOTOMETRY OF THE SHORT-PERIOD ECLIPSING BINARY HW VIRGINIS

During a UBV survey of UV-bright objects HW Vir (BD-07°3477) was discovered to be an eclipsing binary ($P_{orb} \sim 0.1167$ days) by Menzies and Marang (1986). As a part of this survey Berger and Fringant (1980) obtained spectroscopy of HW Vir and classified it as an sdB star. Menzies (1986) obtained UBVRI light curves of this system and then Menzies and Marang (1986) analysed these light curves by WD-code. They also measured the radial velocity of the primary star and calculated the absolute dimensions of the components. Wood et al. (1993) also made UBVR photometry of the system and analysed the light curves using WD-code. In the recent annual report of SAAO Marang and Kilkenny (1994) announced that HW Vir shows a definite period decrease.

We included HW Vir in our observing program in 1992 and observed the system on one night in 1992, one night in 1993 and seven nights in 1994. Differential observations with respect to the comparison star BD $-08^{\circ}3411$ were obtained with the 30cm Maksutov telescope of Ankara University Observatory. We used an OPTEC SSP-5A photometer head which contains a side on R-1414 Hamamatsu photomultiplier. We used BD $-07^{\circ}3467$ as a check star and the magnitude differences between the check star and comparison star were constant within probable errors of ± 0.022 , ± 0.013 and ± 0.016 in U, B and V bands respectively. The light curves formed by these differential observations (in the sense variable minus comparison) are shown in Figure 1 for different filters with their respective B-V color curve (U observations are shifted vertically by +0.5 mag). Differential atmospheric extinction and heliocentric corrections were made. The phases of the light curves were calculated with the light elements

$HJD(Min I) = 2448294.886472 + 0.11671953 \times E$

We derived ten mean times of minima from the new observations (six primary, four secondary) by using the well-known method of Kwee–Van Woerden (1956). The new estimates in different filters and their mean values are listed in Table I. Mean times of minima and their mean errors were generated with the formula

$$t_{min(mean)} = \frac{\sum_{i} (t_i / \sigma_i^2)}{\sum_{i} (1 / \sigma_i^2)}$$

and $\sigma_{mean}^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_{i} (1 / \sigma_i^2)}$

Light curves in all filters exhibit a large reflection effect as mentioned before by several authors. In all wavelength bands, there is a shoulder of extra light in 0.06-0.12 phase interval which may be interpreted as a mass transfer effect.



Figure 2

2

			10.510	-		
Min.	HJD min	Mean err.	Filter	HJD Min(mean)	Std err.	Obs
Type	+2400000			+2400000		
01						
Ι	48776.3548	± 0.00046	V	48776.3552	± 0.00013	\mathbf{SS}
Ι	48776.3550	± 0.00021	В			
Ι	48776.3553	± 0.00018	U			
			_			
Π	49149.3318	± 0.00021	U	49149.3323	± 0.00011	$\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{M}$
II	49149.3323	± 0.00026	B			
II	49149.3325	± 0.00015	V			
П	49393.5095	± 0.00032	В	49393.5095	± 0.00032	BA
	10000.0000	±0.00005	2	1000010000	±0.00001	
T	49393 5671	± 0.00017	V	49393 5672	± 0.00005	BA
Ī	49393.5672	± 0.00006	В	1000010012	±0.00000	2.1
-	10000.000.2	<u></u>	2			
П	49400 5114	± 0.00050	В	49400 5121	± 0.00013	sö
II	49400 5119	± 0.00000 ± 0.00017	V V	19100.0121	10.00013	ыU
II	49400.5125	± 0.00017 ± 0.00023	Ŭ			
11	19 100.0120	10.00020	0			
T	49400 5704	± 0.0007	II	49400 5705	± 0.0005	۶Ö
I T	49400.5704	± 0.00007	D B	49400.0100	± 0.00005	50
I T	49400.5705 40400.5708	± 0.00008 ± 0.00021	D V			
1	49400.3708	± 0.00021	V			
П	40497 4720		D	40497 4749		$\mathbf{P}C$
11 11	49427.4759	± 0.00010	D V	49421.4142	± 0.00009	DG
11	49421.4730	± 0.00017	V			
т	40497 5297		V	40497 5297		$\mathbf{D}C$
I T	49421.0021	± 0.00010		49421.0021	± 0.00005	ЪG
1	49421.3321	± 0.00005	В			
т	40511 2279	10.0001c	V	40511 2272		DC
L T	49911.9972 40511 9979	± 0.00010	V D	49011.0070	± 0.00008	DG
1	49011.0070	± 0.00009	D			
т	40510 9407		р	40510 9407		ЪС
1	49518.3407	± 0.00008	В	49518.3407	± 0.00008	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{G}$

3 Table 1

Observers: SS: S. Selam, ZM: Z. Müyesseroğlu, BA: B. Albayrak, SÖ: S. Özdemir, BG: B. Gürol.

We collected all available times of minima from the literature and constructed the O-C diagram which is shown in Figure 2. The E epochs were calculated with the light elements given by Menzies and Marang (1986) as,

HJD (Min I)= $2445730.556074 + 0.1167196311 \times E$

Both primary and secondary times of minima follow the same trend of O-C variation which indicates zero eccentricity for the binary orbit. The O-C diagram shows a clear rapid period decrease which can be interpreted as active mass change between component stars. But, Wlodarczyk (1994) pointed out from his analysis of their own light curves, both components are well located inside its Roche lobe, and he suggests that period changes most likely are resulting from are extended common envelope, remaining after the giant phase. Only the future accurate observations will help to settle the true nature of this period variation.

We give our special thanks to the observers and to Z. Müyesseroğlu for making his observing computer code available to us.

Birol GÜROL Selim SELAN Ankara University Observatory Science Faculty, 06100, Tandoğan Ankara, Turkey

References:

Berger, J., Fringant, A. M., 1980, A&A, 85, 367

- Kilkenny, D., Harrop-Allin, M., Marang, F., 1991, IBVS, No. 3569
- Kwee, K. K., van Woerden, H., 1956, BAN, 12, 327
- Marang, F., Kilkenny, D., 1994, Ann. Rep. SAAO, 1993, p.21
- Marang, F., Kilkenny, D., 1989, *IBVS*, No. 3390
- Menzies, J. W., 1986, Ann. Rep. SAAO, 1985, p.20
- Menzies, J. W., Marang, F., 1986, Eds: J. B. Hearnshaw, P. L. Cottrell, "Instrumentation and Research Programmes for Small Telescopes", p.305
- Wlodarczyk, K., 1994, private communication

Wood, J. H., Zhang, E. H., Robinson, E. L., 1993, MNRAS, 261, 103